u •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/913,332	SUZUKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711
All Participants:	articipants: Status of Application: Allowed	
(1) <u>Jeffrey C. Mullis</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Bailey</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 6 8		
Prior art documents discussed: none		i
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a record of the substance or	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
_	Joffre) Primary Art Ur	y Mullis Examiner Ilt 1711
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' attorney contacted the examiner on 3-19-04 re the status of their after FINAL response. Applicants' attorney was told that the examiner could not respond to the after FINAL until the petition filed by applicants 13 November 2003 was decided and that no decision was evident. Applicants' attorney responded that a favorable decision had indeed been made on their petition and it was agreed that applicant's attorney would FAX a copy of the decision. On 31 March the examiner contacted applicants' attorney to make the changes in the examiners' amendment to claim 1which contained a typo and to make the changes to claims 6 and 8 so that these claims would have antecedent basis.